

FP Commitments

In 2016, the US ranked first for total FP commitments (2 billion USD), increasing by 10% compared to 2015 and ranked second place for commitments to FP as part of its ODA, right behind The Netherlands. Two other donors emerge as champions when we take into account their FP commitments as part of their ODA: Luxembourg and Sweden. Several donors more than doubled their commitments to FP compared to the previous year: Belgium, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and the EU Institutions. However, donors with large ODA and FP volumes of commitments, such as Japan, Germany, France, and the EU Institutions, score significantly lower when their volumes of FP commitments are assessed relative to their total ODA.


NB: Disbursements for one given year can not always be linked with the commitments made during that year; considerable discrepancies for a few countries occur - see annex on methodology. For this reason, this report presents both data sets, although showing similar trends this year.

“For the first time, the US is not the leading donor to FP as percentage of ODA. Several European donors have more than doubled their commitments to FP compared to 2015.”

**FIGURE 7:
2016 FP COMMITMENTS**

Source: OECD DAC

(In million USD constant prices)



**FIGURE 8:
2016 DONORS' COMMITMENTS TO FP AS % OF THEIR ODA**

Source: OECD DAC

(In %)

